

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City / Denver / Oklahoma City / Omaha

Focus groups identify economic hardships

by: Kelly D. Edmiston and Emily Engel and Jennifer Wilding

January 08, 2021

Stories of the benefits cliff, spiral effect and more illustrate challenges facing LMI individuals

Kelly Edmiston is a post-doctoral student at the University of Kansas School of Medicine and adjunct professor at Baker University (Baldwin City, Kansas).

Emily Engel is a business economist in the Community Development and Policy Studies division of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

Jennifer Wilding is a community development specialist in the Community Affairs department of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.

This article originally appears in ProfitWise News and Views, No. 4, July 2020, a publication of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

In these uncertain times, it is more important than ever to understand the economic hardships of low- and moderate-income (LMI) individuals, particularly in the labor market. This article provides an overview of findings from intelligence-gathering initiatives to better understand the many barriers to employment confronting those in LMI communities. Importantly, these initiatives occurred in a time when the labor market was robust; however, they reveal challenges and hardships that shed light on how the COVID-19 pandemic may exacerbate LMI workers' economic insecurity.

Section I discusses the LMI Survey, an internet-based survey of community organizations that work directly with LMI individuals or in LMI communities. Section II highlights findings of focus groups that met in Kansas City, Chicago, Detroit and Denver to continue this work. Section III focusses on specific themes that emerged from these discussions. The experiences summarized below, where participants discussed the difficulty of finding stable employment during the economic expansion that just ended, may help inform policy efforts to address the challenges of LMI people during the COVID-19 crisis and beyond.

I. The LMI Survey: Purpose and methodology

The LMI Survey seeks insight into economic conditions in LMI communities and the economic and financial well-being of those who live there, as well as observations for specific issues such as the adequacy of available jobs and affordable housing, access to credit, and demand for the services provided by survey respondents. The survey, launched in the Kansas City Fed District in the first quarter of 2009, now is distributed twice per year in the Kansas City and Chicago districts to leaders of social and community service organizations that provide services to LMI communities. Respondent organizations provide social services and community outreach to residents of LMI communities in response to a wide variety of needs, from basic

(e.g., food) to financial assistance, health care, education, workforce development and others. Although the distribution of the

survey remains constant, some respondents are consistent participants; others are more sporadic.

II. Focus groups: Purpose and methodology

Analysis of the survey responses revealed the sentiment that despite a robust national economy, at the time, residents of the communities served by respondent organizations were experiencing a different economic reality. The focus groups were hosted as an effort to better understand why survey respondents continued to report deteriorating economic conditions throughout 2019, at the end of a lengthy period of economic expansion. To understand the factors driving these negative economic perceptions, the authors convened two sets of focus groups across four cities. One set consisted of survey respondents (the "provider" group); the other set consisted of residents of LMI communities who were also unemployed (the "resident" group). Focus group conversations were held in Kansas City, Chicago, Denver and Detroit (although only "provider" discussion groups took place in Detroit).

Focus group moderators sought to understand more deeply the reasons behind the disconnection between resident experiences and national data. Follow-up questions explored current job opportunities within the community and barriers to those opportunities faced by residents. Other questions explored the dynamics around housing and credit, as well as the availability of affordable housing.

"Resident" focus group participants were asked different questions that focused more on their direct experiences with economic conditions. Moderator questioning focused on employment, specifically barriers to employment and nuances around the concept of a "good job."

III. Focus group themes

The empirical data provide context for the feedback gleaned from the discussion groups. Several themes ran through the focus groups, all coalescing around barriers to employment for community residents, including: racial bias, barriers to entry, the housing market and the cliff effect (the sharp loss of public benefits as incomes rise and hit various thresholds).

Racial bias

Participants in each focus group indicated that race affected the LMI populations, with particular impact on their ability to

secure employment. A community resident from Kansas City said, "If the name doesn't sound like Tom or Jane, they may not

get a chance." However, the conditions affecting employment for minorities are multifaceted and interconnected, "Systems

always try to silo us because they don't really want those dots connected: to see how your driver's license being suspended can

contribute to the loss of your job, which contributes to you not being able to feed your family, which contributes to

homelessness, which contributes to you needing to be on public aid. All of these things, it's a spiral effect because they're all

connected."

Focus group discussions looked at how racial biases manifest themselves in myriad ways. The following themes were cited most

frequently, but were not the only ones mentioned.

Barriers to entry

Criminal records also serve as a barrier to entry into the mainstream economy, reported participants. As a Denver resident

explained, "I just got out of prison. I had a good job [before going to prison] but I can't get back into that field. And it's a struggle

because now I feel like I'm taking jobs just to survive." Sometimes, even relatively minor infractions have resulted in

disproportionately severe and devastating consequences. For example, a Chicago resident shared the following story: "I jumped

over the 'L' turnstile in about 1984. That popped up during a background check for a dishwasher job. That was 30 freakin' years

ago." The same participant extrapolated his experience to broader community characteristics: "All these invisible barriers are

just ridiculous. That's why you see so much crime, because I know a lot of cats that don't even look for work."

Other participants related that an eviction record, for example, or other negative marks on their credit report, had impeded

employment.

Health barriers

Medical issues, including mental health conditions as well as health policy regulations, further complicated the employment

outlook for LMI individuals, participants said.

Mental health problems that are not addressed properly also present barriers to employment for community residents. One

Kansas City resident explained: "I'm not unemployed because I want to be. I have PTSD. I cannot find a job that is going to deal

with me and I cannot adhere to their hours." And a Denver resident shared how mental health struggles derailed a

once-promising career: "I could have two years of good mental health and be in a great job and at the end of that, have this

Focus groups identify economic hardships

https://www.kansascityfed.org/community/community-connections/focus-groups-identify-economic-har

dships/

3

mental health issue come up. Because I didn't know how to navigate it, I didn't keep my job. I lost a lot of things and had to start

over." Many respondents said they find the cycle of mental health and poverty nearly impossible to break.

Transportation barriers

Lack of access to affordable public transportation also posed a challenge to employment, according to participants. A resident

of Kansas City explained: "The (urban) core didn't have a lot of opportunity. You had to be in the outer core." Making matters

worse, she said, to accept a job in the outer core you need transportation - "if you didn't have transportation, that's a big issue

for a lot of people." And, without an affordable and efficient means of getting to and from work, sometimes work may not

make financial sense. Another Kansas City resident said: "It costs more to drive to jobs than you get paid to work. Basically, my

paycheck was just paying for gas."

Housing barriers

Many focus group participants discussed the lack of decent, affordable housing. In Denver, one participant said wages do not

keep up with housing costs. "Up to 2014, the average rent in Denver was affordable to a household earning 60 percent of the

area median. Today, it is 92 percent." This is consistent with LMI Survey respondents from Denver who said that a lack of

affordable housing also affected middle-income residents like teachers and police officers, who could not live anywhere close

to work. One Denver resident put a fine point on it: "Everybody's got a job. We're at full employment statistically speaking, but

people can't afford to live where they're living."

In addition to the problems posed by the high cost of rent, homeownership is also a struggle for LMI households. One Denver

resident said "seniors who own homes can no longer afford them, and people who are renting, the rents have gone through the

roof." Similarly, a Chicago resident said, "In Chicago, the issue is gentrification and displacement."

Cliff effect

Many respondents - as well as community providers - discussed the "benefits cliff," a cycle where efforts to make more money

correspond with the loss of essential benefits. Many residents said they wanted to get off of public assistance, like food and

housing subsidies, but if they got a raise or worked more hours, they could lose assistance and end up worse off than before. A

Denver woman attempting to get back on her feet shared, "That first paycheck is not going to put me into a stable living

environment, so I already know that when I get the certificate and get this job, I'm going to go back to being homeless until I can

afford housing, and I don't know if I'll ever be able to do that." Similarly, a resident from Kansas City said, "When (I) get offered

a raise, (I) feel pride because you love to hear that But then you realize that because I'm getting this raise, I'm going to be

losing some of these benefits that are really helping me."

Focus groups identify economic hardships

https://www.kansascityfed.org/community/community-connections/focus-groups-identify-economic-har

dships/

4

 $Others\ talked\ about\ needing\ to\ keep\ their\ assets\ below\ \$2,000.\ A\ Kansas\ City\ resident\ explained: "I\ bought\ a\ piece\ of\ land\ for\ a$

dollar that I'm going to turn into a community garden. I found out I do not qualify for food stamps anymore because the value

of my property is \$2,500."

A few participants were worried that the loss of benefits perpetuated the cycle of poverty, even as they worked to break it. A

Denver respondent said, "I'm on some serious meds. After this (transitional living) program I'm going to be able to make just

enough money to get off of Medicaid. I won't get my meds, which is what got me into this problem in the first place. And I'm

also going to be kicked out of my transitional living. And I don't know what I'm going to do."

While people who are low-income (including those who are unemployed) may have access to health care through Medicaid, a

new job that increases earnings above the qualifying income threshold may disrupt that benefit. One Chicago resident said,

"When you no longer have Medicaid but you're working for a retail employer that doesn't provide health insurance, the dollars

don't work out."

Living in poverty was summed up best by a community provider: "They have been in crisis mode for a very long time. When

they come to our organization ... we look at what they've done and say, 'How have you stayed afloat for so long?' People learn

how to be low-income. Teaching them different ways has been very difficult."

Conclusion

This article highlights personal perspectives on the barriers to employment among LMI individuals in spite of a national

economy that, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, was quite robust. The surveys and focus groups conducted by the Federal

Reserve elicited anecdotal information, drawn from the personal experiences of service providers and residents of LMI

communities, to augment analytical data and inform policymakers on how to effectively mitigate barriers to employment.

Our objective is to supplement quantitative data with these illuminating anecdotal perspectives to help formulate

well-rounded public policy. To be sure, the challenges associated with employment and economic security permeating the

focus group discussions appear likely to be themes in the recovery that lies ahead.

Opinions expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Reserve

Banks of Chicago and Kansas City or the Federal Reserve System.

Focus groups identify economic hardships

https://www.kansascityfed.org/community/community-connections/focus-groups-identify-economic-har

dships/

5