



Agricultural Finance Update

Farm Debt Grows and Delinquencies Rise Modestly

by: Ty Kreitman and Ayesha Cooray

June 30, 2025

Growth in farm debt at commercial agricultural banks remained elevated in the first quarter of 2025 and loan delinquency rates increased modestly.


Growth in farm debt at commercial agricultural banks remained elevated in the first quarter of 2025 and loan delinquency rates increased modestly. According to Reports of Condition and Income, outstanding agricultural loan balances at commercial banks increased 3% from a year ago. At agricultural banks, the increase was almost 7%, boosted by considerable growth in production loans and moderate growth in farmland loans. Farm loan delinquency rates remained historically low but increased slightly for the second consecutive year. Despite modest deterioration in credit conditions, earnings and capital performance at agricultural banks remained sound.

[Demand for financing](#) has grown alongside elevated production costs and reduced working capital for many producers. Liquidity at agricultural banks was relatively stable in aggregate and continued to support credit availability, but the share of lenders with tight liquidity positions increased gradually in the first quarter. The [outlook for the agricultural economy](#) remained subdued as crop prices remained relatively weak and will likely continue weighing on farm finances and [credit conditions](#) in the coming months.

First Quarter 2025 Commercial Bank Call Report Data

Farm debt at agricultural banks continued to grow swiftly in the first quarter of 2025. According to commercial bank call reports, real estate and non-real estate farm debt at agricultural banks grew about 5% and 9% from a year ago, respectively (Chart 1). At non-agricultural banks, growth in outstanding production loan balances was less pronounced relative to agricultural banks and farm real estate debt was nearly unchanged.


Chart 1: Farm Debt Outstanding at Commercial Banks



Note: Agricultural Banks are defined as banks with total agricultural loans comprising at least 25% of total loans. Figures above are calculated using the same group of 941 agricultural banks from Q1 2025 in every quarter.
Sources: Reports of Condition and Income and Federal Reserve Board of Governors

Growth in farm debt was more pronounced among banks with higher concentrations of agricultural lending. Total farm debt increased by more than 8% from last year among agricultural banks with a concentration of farm loans greater than 300% of capital (Chart 2). The rate of growth was slightly lower for banks with moderate concentration and was almost half as much at lenders with less than a 200% concentration of agricultural loans.

Chart 2: Debt Changes at Agricultural Banks by Ag Loan Concentration*, Q1 2025



*Ag Loans as a % of Tier 1 Capital + Allowance for Loan Losses


Note: Agricultural Banks are defined as banks with total agricultural loans comprising at least 25% of total loans.

Source: Reports of Condition and Income and Federal Reserve Board of Governors

The increase in outstanding farm loan balances was also slightly more pronounced at small and mid-sized agricultural banks.

Total farm debt increased by more than 6% from last year among agricultural banks with farm loan portfolios less than \$500 million (Chart 3). While the rate of growth was only slightly lower for lenders with the largest portfolios, the smaller portfolios accounted for the majority of additional outstanding balances at agricultural banks.

Chart 3: Debt Changes at Agricultural Banks by Farm Loan Portfolio Size, Q1 2025




Note: Agricultural Banks are defined as banks with total agricultural loans comprising at least 25% of total loans.

Source: Reports of Condition and Income and Federal Reserve Board of Governors

Alongside strong loan growth, liquidity was notably tight for a growing share of farm lenders. The aggregated loan-to-deposit ratio across agricultural banks declined in the first quarter of 2025 relative to 2024 but remained close to the 20-year average (Chart 4). About two thirds of agricultural lenders continued to report loan-to-deposit ratios less than 80%, but the share with ratios above 80% increased relative to a year ago.


Chart 4: Liquidity at Agricultural Banks



Note: Agricultural Banks are defined as banks with total agricultural loans comprising at least 25% of total loans.
Source: Reports of Condition and Income and Federal Reserve Board of Governors

Following gradual deterioration in farm financial conditions over the past year, delinquency rates edged higher. At agricultural banks, delinquency rates for both farmland and production loans increased modestly in the first quarter of 2025 (Chart 5). For non-agricultural banks, the delinquency rate on real estate loans increased modestly and leveled off for non-real estate loans.

Chart 5: Farm Loan Delinquency Rates, Q1




Note: Delinquent farm loans include all agricultural loans past due 30 or more days or non-accruing. Agricultural banks include all banks with farm loans comprising at least 25% of total loans.

Sources: Reports of Condition and Income and Federal Reserve Board of Governors

Despite signs of modest deterioration in credit conditions, financial performance at agricultural banks remained sound. The net interest margin, return on average assets and Tier 1 leverage capital ratio at agricultural banks all increased relative to last year (Chart 6). Interest margins remained below the longer-term average, but strong overall returns pushed the Tier 1 leverage capital ratio well above the average.

Chart 6: Earnings and Capital at Agricultural Banks, Q1



*This capital ratio excludes unrealized gains (losses) and is not reported in Ag Finance Update tables.

Note: Agricultural banks are defined as banks with total agricultural loans comprising at least 25% of total loans. Figures in the right panel are calculated using the same group of 941 agricultural banks from Q1 2025 in every quarter.

Sources: Reports of Condition and Income and Federal Reserve Board of Governors

[Commercial Bank Call Report Historical Data](#)

[Commercial Bank Call Report Data Tables](#)

[About Commercial Bank Call Report Data](#)

Authors



Ty Kreitman

Associate Economist

Ty Kreitman is an associate economist in the Regional Affairs Department at the Omaha Branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. In this role, he primarily supports the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City and the Federal Reserve System efforts surrounding agricultural economics research, analysis and outreach. His responsibilities include co-authoring the *Tenth District Survey of Agricultural Credit Conditions* and *Agricultural Finance Updates*. Ty joined the Bank in 2015 as an assistant bank examiner in the Examinations & Inspections Department at the Omaha Branch and transferred to his current position in 2018. He holds a B.A. degree in Economics and Finance from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and a M.A. degree in Financial Economics from Youngstown State University.



Ayesha Cooray

Economist

Ayesha Cooray is an economist in the Regional Affairs Department at the Omaha Branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. In this role, she primarily supports the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City and the Federal Reserve System efforts surrounding agricultural economics research, analysis and outreach.

Ayesha joined the Bank in 2025. She holds a dual degree B.A. International Studies and B.S. Economics from American University, a M.Sc. in Local Economic Development from the London School of Economics, and a Ph.D. in Economics from North Carolina State University.