The Federal Open Market Committee has been quickly raising the federal funds rate to lower inflation. However, services inflation remains high, supported by a tight labor market with high wage growth. Recent readings in the LMCI momentum indicator suggest monetary policy tightening is beginning to weigh on labor markets, which may eventually lead to lower services inflation and lower inflation overall.

In an effort to lower inflation, the Federal Open Market Committee quickly raised rates in 2022, increasing the federal funds rate by 4.5 percentage points from March to December. However, inflation remains high: the price index for personal consumption expenditures excluding food and energy (core PCE) increased by 4.4 percent over 2022, well above the FOMC’s 2 percent objective. Although goods inflation has slowed in recent months, strong services inflation has kept overall inflation high.

More specifically, inflation in non-housing services (which constitute over half of the core PCE index) has been running high, in part due to the tight labor market (Powell 2022). Indeed, employee compensation makes up about 60 percent of total value added in this sector, which means that wage increases are likely to play an important role in price setting. In aggregate, wages have remained strong, with the Atlanta Fed Wage Growth Tracker reporting a 6.1 percent increase in wages over the past year.\[1\]

Although many labor market indicators remain tight, the Kansas City Fed Labor Market Conditions Indicators (LMCI) suggest the labor market may be starting to soften. Chart 1 shows that the LMCI measure of labor market momentum (blue line)—a composite of 24 labor market variables—turned negative in November 2022.\[2\] The chart also shows that LMCI momentum has followed a remarkably consistent pattern: during the last 20 years, momentum has only turned negative during monetary policy tightening cycles (green shaded areas), except for a short period at the start of the pandemic. Moreover, except for the 2015–19 tightening cycle, momentum has turned negative within eight to 12 months of the start of each tightening cycle. This consistent historical relationship between the timing of policy tightening and momentum turning negative suggests that the LMCI momentum indicator could provide an early indicator of policy transmitting to labor markets. To this point,
momentum turned negative in November 2022 after only eight months of monetary policy tightening.

In contrast, other commonly cited measures of the labor market are much slower to react. Chart 2 shows the relationship between negative LMCI momentum (orange shaded areas) and the unemployment rate (blue line). Like momentum, the unemployment rate responded to the tightening cycles of the early and mid-2000s. However, as this figure shows, the unemployment rate began rising a year or two after momentum turned negative, and thus two to three years after the tightening cycle began.

Negative momentum also appears to signal a future softening in wage growth. Chart 3 shows how wage growth (blue line), as measured by the Atlanta Fed Wage Growth Tracker, evolves during times of negative momentum (orange shaded areas). Again, much like in Chart 2, during the tightening cycles of the early and mid-2000s, wage growth peaked a year or two after momentum turned negative. Together, Charts 2 and 3 highlight that negative LMCI momentum may signal that both unemployment and wage growth could soften within the next two years.

Because momentum captures movements in 24 labor market variables, we can assess which variables contributed to momentum turning negative in each tightening cycle. For instance, when momentum turned negative in May 2005, the largest contributor to the decline from the previous month was the ISM Manufacturing Employment Index. When momentum decreased in November 2022, however, the largest contributor to the decline was an increase in announced job cuts (Challenger-Gray-Christmas). These differences in the key contributors to momentum suggest that not all tightening cycles affect the labor market the same way. In addition, the top contributors in both 2005 and 2022 did not account for even a third of the total momentum declines. Instead, the declines in momentum were caused by a range of factors in both years, with 12 variables pushing momentum down in May 2005 and 17 variables contributing to the decline in November 2022. By virtue of its construction, momentum seems to do a better job of capturing these different signals of labor market trajectory than any of its components.

In sum, LMCI momentum appears to be a leading indicator of how changes in monetary policy are affecting labor markets. Although many standard labor market variables do not begin to soften until months or even years after the end of a tightening cycle, LMCI momentum responds more quickly to monetary policy tightening. If the recent negative readings in LMCI momentum continue, other labor market variables, such as wage growth, are likely to begin to soften—reducing price pressures in the services sector and likely helping to reduce overall inflation.
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[1] Specifically, the wage growth measure from the Atlanta Fed Wage Growth Tracker is the unweighted, three-month moving average series.

[2] While the more commonly cited LMCI level of activity indicator measures overall labor market health, the momentum indicator gives us a sense of labor market trajectory, or whether labor markets are tightening or loosening. For more details on the construction of the LMCI, see Hakkio and Willis (2014). For more recent work using the LMCI, see Glover, Mustre-del-Rio, and Pollard (2021).
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Chart 1: LMCI momentum has turned negative within a year of the start of most monetary policy tightening cycles

Sources: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (both accessed through Haver Analytics).
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