An Empirical Investigation of Productivity Spillovers along the Agricultural Supply Chain

Sergio H. Lence and Alejandro Plastina

Introduction

- > Many studies have found Total Factor Productivity (TFP) to be a major engine of growth for U.S. agriculture
- > Growth in U.S. Ag TFP has compared very favorably to TFP growth in most other U.S. sectors
- > Lack of studies analyzing productivity spillovers between the agricultural sector and other economic sectors

Objectives

- Identify productivity linkages between the agricultural sector and 62 other sectors of the
 U.S. economy
- > Measure short- and long-run productivity spillovers from and to the agricultural sector
 - > TFP
 - > Labor partial productivity (LPP)
 - > Capital partial productivity (KPP)

Data

- > Annual productivity measures over 1947-2014 from KLEMS-type database by Jorgenson, Ho, and Samuels (2017)
 - > TFP, LPP, and KPP
 - > Ag sector and 62 Other sectors in the U.S. National Income and Product Accounts
 - > 62 Other sectors classified as
 - Ag-related (10 sectors)
 - Non-ag-related (52 sectors)
- > Ag productivity series from USDA to verify robustness of results

Estimation Methods

Time-series analysis of pair-wise association between productivity in ag sector (Ag) and other sector (Other):

- Total Factor Productivity: {TFP_{Ag}, **TFP_{Other}**}
- Labor Partial Productivity: {LPP_{Ag}, LPP_{Other}}
- Capital Partial Productivity: {KPP_{Ag}, KPP_{Other}}
- > Test for properties of individual series (e.g., trends)
- > Test whether series in each pair tend to move together
- > For each pair, estimate model to assess
 - > Causality (e.g., whether TFP_{Ag} "causes" TFP_{Other}, or viceversa)
 - > Long- and short-term dynamics

Results: TFP series tend to move in tandem in the long run

- Productivity series consistent with unit-root assumption
- Most Total Factor Productivity pairs {TFP_{Ag}, TFP_{Other}} are cointegrated

Percentage of sectors exhibiting pairwise TFP cointegrating relationships with agriculture.

Agricultural	Percentage of sectors exhibiting pairwise cointegrating TFP					
productivity	relationships with agriculture at 5% significance level					
database	Ag-related sectors	Non-ag-related sectors				
JHS ^a	100.0%	98.2%				
USDAª	90.0%	88.5%				

^a JHS and USDA denote respectively the databases from Jorgenson, Ho, and Samuels (2017) and the USDA (2020).

Results: response of Other sectors' TFP to shocks in Ag TFP

Shoek in the Ag 2 hesponse north other							
Response of TFP _{Other} to shocks in TFP _{Ag}	Ag-Related sectors' TFP (10 sectors)			Non-Ag-Related sectors' TFP (52 sectors)			
	Positive Response	Negative Response	Subtotal	Positive Response	Negative Response	Subtotal	
Only significant 1-YR responses			0%		1.9%	1.9%	
Significant 1-YR &10-YR responses	20%	10%	30%	5.8%	1.9%	5.8%	
Only significant 10-YR responses	10%		10%	5.8%	1.9%	5.8%	
Subtotal significant			40%			13.5%	
Not significant responses			60%			86.5%	

Shock in TEP \rightarrow Response from TEP

Results: response of Other sectors' TFP to shocks in Ag TFP

- Shocks in Ag TFP are more likely to affect ag-related sectors' TFP than non-ag-related sectors' TFP
- Long-term responses are more likely than short-term responses in both ag-related and non-ag-related sectors

Results: response of Ag TFP to shocks in Other sectors' TFP

Shock in $\text{TFP}_{\text{Other}} \rightarrow \text{Response from TFP}_{Ag}$							
Response of TFP _{Ag} to shocks in TFP _{Other}	Shocks from Ag-Related sectors' TFP (10 sectors)		Shocks from Non-Ag-Related sectors' TFP (52 sectors)				
	Positive Response	Negative Response	Subtotal	Positive Response	Negative Response	Subtotal	
Only significant 1-YR responses			0%	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	
Significant 1-YR &10-YR responses			0%			0%	
Only significant 10-YR responses	10%	10%	20%	1.9%	11.5%	13.4%	
Subtotal significant			20%			17.2%	
Not significant responses			80%			82.8%	

Results: response of Other sectors' TFP to shocks in Ag TFP

- Shocks in Other sectors' TFP are relatively unlikely to affect Ag TFP
- When shocks in Other sectors' TFP affect Ag TFP, the effect tends to occur over the long-term

Results: LPP, KPP series less likely to move in tandem than TFP

Productivity type	Agricultural productivity	Percentage of sectors exhibiting pairwise cointegrating relationships with agriculture at 5% significance level					
	database	Ag-related sectors			Non-ag-related sectors		
		Entire	First Half	Second	Entire	First Half	Second
		Period		Half	Period		Half
TFP	JHSª	100.0%	40.0%	50.0%	98.2%	48.1%	46.2%
TFP	USDAª	90.0%	40.0%	40.0%	88.5%	36.5%	48.1%
LPP	JHSª	80.0%	10.0%	20.0%	28.8%	15.4%	11.5%
LPP	USDAª	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	21.2%	28.8%	73.1%
KPP	JHSª	40.0%	60.0%	60.0%	15.4%	48.1%	67.3%
KPP	USDA ª	30.0%	90.0%	40.0%	17.3%	63.5%	40.4%

^a JHS and USDA denote respectively the databases from Jorgenson, Ho, and Samuels (2017) and the USDA (2020).

Summary

U.S. TFP data for agriculture and 62 other sectors over 1947-2014 shows that

- Increases in Ag TFP caused long-lasting increases (reductions) in the TFP of 15% (5%) of 62 other sectors
- > Shocks in the TFP of 15% other sectors had long-lasting spillover effects into Ag TFP (3% with same-sign, and 11% with opposite-sign)
- > Labor and capital partial productivities (LPP and KPP) in agriculture had little association with LPP and KPP in non-ag-related sectors
- > Relationships between productivity in agriculture and in non-ag-related sectors were relatively stable across both halves of the sample for TFP and LPP, but not for KPP