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## Introduction

- Main labor market indicators for central banks' short-run and long-run projections:
- Labor force participation rate and unemployment rate
- Main indicators focus on "counting people": employment, unemployment, population
- Key input into (potential) output:

$$
\text { Hours per person }=\underbrace{\frac{\text { Employment }}{\text { Population }}}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Employment rate } \\
(\text { extensive margin) })
\end{array}} \times \underbrace{\frac{\text { Total hours }}{\text { Employment }}}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Hours per worker } \\
\text { (intensive margin) }
\end{array}}
$$

- Our main message for long-run projections:
- Hours per worker deserve as much attention as employment.
- Ignoring hours per worker trends leads to overestimation of potential output.
- Structural shifts in labor markets likely imply further decreases in hours per worker.
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## Decreasing Trends in Hours per Person across Countries

Figure 1: Changes in Hours per Person across Countries between 1999 and 2019


Note: Figure plots changes in weekly hours per person (ages 15 and above) between 1999 and 2019. For both years, we use the average with the two previous years, respectively. *For Norway and Sweden, individuals ages 75 and older are excluded. Source: EULFS and CPS.

- Over the two decades prior to the pandemic, hours per person ...
- decreased in 14 out of 19 sample countries.
- decreased on average across all countries by 0.9 hours, or $4.7 \%$ ( $0.24 \%$ per year).
- Hours per person are the product of the extensive and the intensive margins.
- Next, we decompose changes in hours per person into these two margins.


## Trends Are Driven by Decreases in Hours per Worker

Figure 2: Changes in Hours per Worker across Countries between 1999 and 2019


Note: Figure plots changes in weekly hours per worker (ages 15 and above) between 1999 and 2019. For both years, we use the average with the two previous years, respectively. *For Norway and Sweden, individuals ages 75 and older are excluded. Source: EULFS and CPS.

Figure 3: Changes in Hours per Worker across Countries for Different Groups between 1999 and 2019
(a) Men
(b) Women
(c) 15-24
(d) 25-54
(e) $55+$





- Over the two decades prior to the pandemic, hours per worker ...
- decreased in all 19 sample countries.
- decreased on average across all countries by 3.4 hours, or $9.2 \%$ ( $0.48 \%$ per year).
- Decrease happened uniformly ...
- for men and women and across all age groups.
- in agriculture, manufacturing, and services (not shown here).


## Employment Rates Mostly Increasing Despite Population Aging

Figure 4: Changes in Employment Rates across Countries between 1999 and 2019


Note: Figure plots changes in employment rates (ages 15 and above) between 1999 and 2019. For both years, we use the average with the two previous years, respectively. ${ }^{*}$ For Norway and Sweden, individuals ages 75 and older are excluded. Source: EULFS and CPS.

- Over the two decades prior to the pandemic, employment rates ...
- increased in 14 out of 19 sample countries.
- increased on average across all countries by 2.5 pp , or $4.9 \%$ ( $0.24 \%$ per year).
- Standard growth accounting:
- Increases in employment rate alone increased output growth by 0.16 pp per year.
- Total changes in hours per person decreased output growth by 0.16pp per year.
$\Rightarrow$ Ignoring changes in hours per worker overstates output growth by 0.32 pp per year.
- Employment rate changes exhibit heterogeneity across and within countries:
- Population aging depresses employment rates; increases in education raise them.
- Absent population aging and increases in education, employment rates would have increased in all countries but Greece and Portugal.


## Negative Correlation between Changes in Hours per Worker and Changes in Employment Rates

Figure 5: Changes in Hours per Worker vs. Changes in Employment Rates between 1999 and 2019


Note: Figure plots changes in hours per worker against changes in employment rates between 1999 and 2019. For both years, we use the average with the two previous years, respectively. *For Norway and Sweden, individuals ages 75 and older are excluded. Source: EULFS and CPS.

- Statistically significant negative correlation between changes in hours per worker and changes in employment rates:
- Countries w/ large increases in employment have large decreases in hours per worker.
$\Rightarrow$ Increasing employment does not necessarily imply increasing total hours.


# Stylized Model with Decreasing Fixed Costs of Working Can Generate Movement of Margins in Opposite Directions 

## Challenge

- Standard theories explain decreasing hours per person ...
- via increasing taxes and transfers (taxes and transfers $\uparrow$, hours $\downarrow$ ).
- via dominating income effect (income $\uparrow$, hours $\downarrow$ ).
$\Rightarrow$ Each channel moves both margins in the same direction. $\Rightarrow$ At odds with the data.
- Additional mechanism needed to move both margins in opposite directions.

Proposed mechanism: Decreasing fixed costs of working

- Draws more individuals with higher disutility of working into employment.
- These individuals optimally choose lower hours worked.
$\underline{\text { Suggestive evidence for mechanism }}$
- Mothers of young children, women, older individuals likely have high disutility of working: They work(ed) the least hours and had the largest increases in employment rates.
- Decreasing fixed costs could capture effects working through supply and demand sides:
- Job characteristics
- Social norms
- Government policies

Quantification (Bick, Fuchs-Schündeln, Lagakos, and Tsujiyama, 2022)

- Estimated model with increasing taxes/transfers, dominating income effect, and decreasing fixed costs of working
- Extrapolating into the future, model predicts flat hours per person over next 50 years:
- Employment rates increase and hours per worker decrease.
- Both changes roughly offset each other.


# Survey Evidence from June 2022 Suggests Further Decreases in Fixed Costs of Working 

Survey of Work Costs and Flexibility (SWCF)

- Fielded in June 2022
- Representative sample for the US, with about 7,000 observations
- Contains basic CPS questions plus additional questions
$\underline{\text { Key Findings }}$
- Work from home (WFH):
- Share of workdays WFH increased from $14 \%$ before pandemic to $25 \%$ in June 2022.
- Increase in WFH is persistent, not transitory:

Expected share of workdays WFH for June 2023 remains elevated at 21\%.

- WFH and lower fixed costs of working:
- Average weekly commuting time per worker decreased by 44 minutes, or $17 \%$.
- More multi-tasking of work and personal activities among WFH workers.
- Share who could move anywhere in US and keep job doubled ( $11 \%$ vs. $5 \%$ ).
- Work hours flexibility:
- Share with flexible work hours increased by 9pp.
- Flexible work hours more prevalent among workers with WFH option ( $74 \%$ vs. $45 \%$ ).


## Summary

- Recent trends in aggregate labor supply were dominated by trends in hours per worker. Over the two decades prior to the COVID-19 pandemic ...
- Hours per person decreased on average by $4.7 \%$.
* Hours per worker decreased on average by $9.2 \%$.
* Employment rates increased on average by $4.9 \%$.
- Decrease in hours per worker is economically meaningful. Standard growth accounting:
- Decrease in hours per worker depressed output growth by 0.32 pp per year.
- Changes in hours per worker and employment rates are negatively correlated:
- Countries w/ large increases in employment have large decreases in hours per worker.
- Standard theories to explain decreasing hours per person cannot explain this fact.
- Decreasing fixed costs of working generate opposing trends in two margins of labor supply:
- More individuals with higher disutility of working are drawn into employment.
- These individuals optimally choose lower hours worked.
- Persistent effect of the pandemic on fixed costs of working:
- Large and persistent increase in work from home.
- Work from home lowers fixed costs of working.
- Work hours flexibility also increased.
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